	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/687,000	WILKINSON, JEFFREY FERGUS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kelly Mahafkey	1761
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Chhaya Sayala</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffrey Wilkinson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview June 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica	nt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
XI ATO		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant confirmed with Examiner Sayala that no response had been sent to the office action mailed September 7, 2006. It is noted that office action of September 7, 2006 was mailed to the address of record, however, applicant stated that it had not been recieved. Applicant may wish to petition the abandonment of the application.